Multithreaded Programs and Race Conditions

- Multithreaded programs run multiple threads simultaneously, the steps of which are interleaved by a scheduler.
- Each thread can read and write to memory.
- Threads may interfere with each other if they access the same memory location at the "same time".
- This is called a *race condition* and causes non-deterministic behavior.

A Broken Bank Account and Two Interleavings				
Deposit:	Good Sched:	Bad S		
t1 = bal	bal == 0	bal		
bal = t1 + 10	t1 = bal	t1 :		
	bal = t1 + 10	t2 :		
Withdraw:	t2 = bal	bal		
t2 = bal	bal = t2 - 10	bal		
bal = t2 - 10	bal == 0	bal		

Locking to Control Scheduling

• Programmers can use *locks* to avoid bad schedules. • Locks are objects which can only be held by a single thread at any time, forcing all other threads to wait their turn.

Bank Account with Locking	
Deposit:	Schedule:
acquire lock	bal == 0
t1 = bal	acquire lock
bal = t1 + 10	t1 = bal
release lock	bal = t1 + 10
	release lock
Withdraw:	acquire lock
acquire lock	t2 = bal
t2 = bal	bal = t2 - 10
bal = t2 - 10	release lock
release lock	bal == 0

Race Conditions Are Still A Problem
 Writing correct multithreaded programs is hard!
Programmers often forget to acquire the proper locks
This can lead to subtle bugs that produce observable
on certain interleavings, making them hard to detect.

Optimizing Dynamic Race Detection With Hash Consing

David Moon '16 (dm7@williams.edu) and Stephen Freund (freund@cs.williams.edu) Department of Computer Science at Williams College August 14, 2015





error only

Automatic Dynamic Race Detection

- As the program executes, the detector builds a *happens-before graph* to capture the relative order of steps taken by different threads.
- This order is determined by the locking operations.
- For example, a release lock operation happens before the next acquire lock operation in the executed interleaving.
- The program may have many other interleavings with the same happens-before graph.
- A race condition between two memory accesses is present if there is no path between them in the graph, which means there exist other interleavings where the accesses occur opposite the observed order.

appens-Before Graph & Shadow State	
Thread1: Thread2:	ıe
1: acquire lock	
2: x = 1	
3: release lock	
4: acquire lock	
5: x = 2	
6: release lock	
7: x = 3	

- In the happens-before graph on the left, line 2 happens before line 5.
- On the other hand, line 5 and line 7 form a race condition.
- For efficiency, a race detector represents the happens-before graph as the *shadow state* above on the right:
 - Clock vector $C_x = \langle 4, 8 \rangle$ for memory location x after line 5 indicates that x was last accessed by **Thread1** at time 4 and by **Thread2** at time 8.
 - Clock vector $C_2 = \langle 4, 9 \rangle$ for Thread2 after line 6 records at the second index **Thread2**'s current time (9) and, at the first index, the time (4) of **Thread1**'s last operation that happens before the current operation of **Thread2**
 - A race is detected at the write to x in Line 7, **Thread1**, by observing that the second clock in C_1 is smaller than the second clock in C_x .

Problem: Lots o' State

• Unfortunately, the analysis above incurs a large space overhead because it allocates a shadow state object for *each memory location*.

<i>C</i> ₁ <4,0>	C ₂ <0,8>	<i>C</i> _x <0,0>
<4,0>	<0,8>	<0,0>
<4,0>	<0,8>	<4,0>
<5,0>	<0,8>	<4,0>
<5,0>	<4,8>	<4,0>
<5,0>	<4,8>	<4,8>
<5, <mark>0</mark> >	<4,9>	<4, <mark>8</mark> >
	race!	

Our Work: Saving Space with Hash Consing

- through 99 with the same shadow state value.

```
Thread1: Thread2:
 acquire lock
 for (i=0; i<100; i++)</pre>
   a[i] = 0
 release lock
```

- Hash consing avoids creating multiple objects for the same value.
- Using a hash-consed shadow state greatly reduces our space overhead. The more shadow state values repeat, the more hash consing reduces our space overhead.
- We implemented hash consing in the FastTrack dynamic race detector.



Future Work

- Assess overall memory savings.

• Oftentimes many shadow state objects have the same value. • The following program execution leaves array elements 1



• Hash consing increases time overhead because it requires table lookups and copying of shadow state at each memory access. We plan to optimize our hash consing methods to address this.

This research was supported by NSF grant 1421051. Thanks to James Wilcox '13 for help with background material and the poster template.